

GLADSTONE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF September 9, 2014

Meeting was called to order. No time stamp provided.

**ROLL CALL:**

The following city officials answered roll call: Councilor Nelson, Councilor Mersereau, Councilor Martinez, Councilor Sieckmann, Councilor Busch, Councilor Reisner, and Mayor Byers

**ABSENT:**

None reported

**STAFF:**

Shane Abma, City Attorney; Jolene Morishita, Assistant City Administrator; Stan Monte, Fire Chief; Scott Tabor, Public Works Supervisor; Jim Pryde, Police Chief; Rhonda Bremmeyer, Senior Center Director; Wayne Lawrence, Police Sgt.; Maria Aikin, Executive Assistant

**PRESENTATION OF THE GLADSTONE POLICE DEPT. ACCREDITATION:**

Ed Boyd, Executive Director of the Oregon Accreditation Alliance, presented the Gladstone Police Department with their Oregon State accreditation. He explained what the accreditation means, its expectations, and the best practice for which it stands. He went on to explain that only about 22 percent of the state law enforcement agencies hold state accreditation, so it means that the Gladstone Police Department is a select group. Mister Boyd congratulated Maria Aikin, Executive Assistant, for making the involved application, then presented Chief Pryde with the framed Certificate of Accreditation.

Mayor Byers congratulated Chief Pryde and asked that the Council's congratulations be conveyed to the department. Chief Pryde acknowledged the work of his staff and Maria Aikin for their hard work on obtaining the award.

**BUSINESS FROM THE AUDIENCE:**

None

**CONSENT AGENDA:**

Consent Agenda items:

1. Approval of August 12, 2014 Minutes
2. Payment of August 2014 Claims

*Councilor Nelson requested that item 2 be removed.*

*Councilor Sieckmann requested that item 1 be removed.*

Consent Item #1 - Approval of the August 12, 2014 Minutes:

Councilor Sieckmann referred to item 1-19 of the packet, Business from the Council, second paragraph from the bottom had a typographical error on the amount in the second line: the all \$354 is supposed to be \$3554. Mayor Byers acknowledged the error and noted that it was right in one place.

Consent Item #2 - Payment of the August 14 Claims:

Councilor Reisner pointed out that in July, the Council had voted to withhold payment to Brown and Caldwell, but noted that the City appears to be poised to pay them. Since the Council is prepared to discuss this later in the meeting, it seemed out of place. City Administrator Boyce noted that on the first page of claims, under item 2, the second line under Manual Machine Month-end Checks on the spreadsheet is for the amount of \$357,414 – including an amount which is a duplicate of what was approved on August 12; those checks were a duplicate of what had been approved in August. As those checks had already been approved, the City is not duplicating payment, the checks had already been issued with the exception of the Brown and Caldwell which had been withheld and is currently on hold.

*Councilor Sieckmann made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda items with the amendment to item #1 as discussed, and with the explanation on item #2. Councilor Nelson seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.*

**CORRESPONDENCE:**

None

**REGULAR AGENDA:**

3. Clackamas Community College Presentation – Judith Ervin (no attachments):

Mayor Byers introduced Judith Ervin, Board of Education at Clackamas Community College, and Shelly Perinni, Dean of College Advancement at Clackamas Community College. Judith Ervin announced that the Board of Education at Clackamas Community College is going out with a \$90 Million bond in November – an extension of taxes that are already being collected. The amount is equal to \$0.19 per \$1000 of property value. She solicited the Council and audience for those who had attended, who had relatives that attended, and for those who knew where the campuses were located.

She gave a brief history of the college, then reported that there were currently 30,000 student service annually, with an average full-time student count of about 8,000.

Shelly Perinni explained that the Community Engagement Initiative was designed by over 100 citizens, through online and face-to-face surveys, using the campus libraries as the living rooms for the surveys. The surveys included 450 high school students that they intercepted and interviewed on ‘Skills Day.’ She explained the goals of the college, then explained that they service about 30% of the students coming out of the Clackamas County High Schools, even though the average age of the students is higher.

She also explained that they got much of their information from the surveys from Clackamas County employers, trying to find the future trends of business in the area. They are looking for ways to have the students work ready when they finish at the college – the centerpiece of what the bond is supposed to do. They are addressing three issues with this initiative, (1) raising \$10M in scholarships to raise the access level of students to the education, (2) improving and partnering with transportation options, and (3) looking at ways to enhance distance learning.

Judith Ervin averred that the bond would help modernize the classrooms, because the current classrooms and labs are working with outdated equipment. They also want to build a new Industrial/Technical Learning Center at the Oregon City Campus, and a new Workforce Development and Training Facility at the Harmony Campus, and they need to expand their current Workforce Development Facilities.

Passing the bond will allow access to matching funds through the state's Capital Construction Grants and allow the college to invest \$40,000 in each school district to strengthen equipment and technology of the classrooms. She then solicited for questions from the Council.

Councilor Martinez asked if Judith knew what the average student debt is for students coming out of Clackamas Community College. Judith Ervin did not know the answer, but knew that the average student cost per year is about \$4,000, compared to about \$8,000 from a public university, and \$36,000 at a private university. Councilor Martinez added that she thought the Repertory Theatre was a great facility and the plays were also great.

Councilor Mersereau asked how long the extension of the bond would be. Ms. Ervin replied about 15 to 20 years. Mayor Byers added that Clackamas Community College had been instrumental in helping to form the Gladstone schools about 40 years earlier. Judith Ervin added that during the recession, attendance at the college had skyrocketed because of the number of people that had been put out of work.

4. Discussion of Staff Report Template:

City Administrator Boyce reported that he had been approached by Councilors, Nelson, Reisner, and Sieckmann about staff reports, and provided him with a template which should provide more complete information to Councilors on which they make decisions. He said that several of the department heads had suggestions for improvement.

Councilor Nelson liked the complete reports the Council had received for the road project and from the police department – the information was complete and had the details needed to make decisions. He believes that this is what they need in the future and that it helps to prevent having to delay actions and decisions.

Councilor Reisner concurred that complete reports helped out in preventing a lot of pre-meeting emails where they are trying to clear up details and it adds transparency for the public interests. Councilor Sieckmann said that he would like to hear from staff and the department heads to see what improvements could be made to make the template more effective.

City Administrator Boyce listed the following suggestions by staff and department heads:

- The Proposal Options category be split out, so the report would start with the proposal, then the options would be delineated in a later paragraph
- Move the Cost Impact from the bottom of the sheet to a point above the Staff Actions

Councilor Reisner concurred that the suggestions sounded good. City Administrator Boyce went on to add that it would provide staff more time to respond if the packet information was made available a week earlier. Since the packets are currently printed on Thursday, the week before the Council Meeting, it does not provide sufficient time for staff or citizens to respond before the meeting.

The Council responded with several ascending comments. Mayor Byers said, "Sally forth."

5. Bid Award: 2014 Pavement Rehabilitation Project:

Scott Tabor, Public Works Supervisor, referred the Council to the three bid projects in the staff reports, pointing out that each of the roads (Hereford Street, Arlington Street, and Oatfield Road) needed specific and immediate care. Attention now could make the roads last another 10-12 years until the City had funding to completely rebuild the roads from the ground up. All of these roads have a lot of delamination, curb faces that are missing, and other problems, especially East Hereford which, if not addressed soon, is going to need extensive repairs or it will degrade to a point where it would have to be rebuilt.

Oatfield Road has areas of settling, where water has gotten under the surface, where areas will have to be dug up and repaired, then resurfacing with asphaltic concrete overlay. West Arlington was redone several years ago, and because of improper material, the surface is failing. Now it must be redone with the proper rock and material to prevent further deterioration. The City has not done any major road repair in about four and a half years, and it looks like we have four solid bids to consider for the projects. He then solicited for questions from the Council.

Councilor Nelson asked how this matches the Road Master Plan – he is concerned that the roads would be beautifully rebuilt then another project would require deconstruction and cause damage to the new work. Public Works Supervisor Tabor replied that this was just to save those roads until the City does have a master plan – until we can find more funding so that the City does not have to rely only on state funding for revenue. Once the City has a master plan, it would specifically include funding and plans for complete road restoration, not just overlaying. This would take a systematic approach to rebuilding the streets according to their urgency to completely rebuild so that they will last long into the future.

Councilor Nelson congratulated Public Works Supervisor Tabor for an outstanding job on the report and asked when they could get started. Public Works Supervisor Tabor replied, "As soon as you pass this."

Councilor Reisner said that he was concerned that without a master plan, as soon as we do this, you have to come along and tear it up because of sewer or water. Public Works Supervisor Tabor explained that at any time the City may have to do water main repairs or dig up sewers, or fix gas line faults – but after a City Master Plan, the utilities would be notified about the rebuilds ahead of time so that they could do their own upgrades and take preventive measures, then declare a moratorium on any future work around the road

for the next ten years. The City may decide to take over and replace any citizens' sewer lateral before a complete restoration.

Public Works Supervisor Tabor stated that this plan does not address those issues – it is only to get these repairs done quickly. He does not believe that Hereford can last another two years. Oatfield Road is deteriorating quickly unless addressed.

With a City Street Traffic Master Plan, it would have a sequence of events in which things would happen. This would include prior notification of utilities for a number of years so that they can replace or upgrade their utilities. If we have aging water systems, we can get ahead of it and can get it in place. Regardless, sometimes utilities fail.

Councilor Reisner related that the water/sewerage department of Portland had just recently gone in and dug up a new road surface in Portland because the work was not coordinated. Public Works Supervisor Tabor assured him that this would be less likely with a City Street Traffic Master Plan. If we had a Traffic Safety Master Plan, those things could be taken care of ahead of time because people would have enough warning.

Public Works Supervisor Tabor pointed out that they would have to address the sewer laterals before addressing any new road construction or maintenance. Councilor Reisner said that he was concerned about that which the City had control over, and the Council has been waiting for a plan on it. Public Works Supervisor Tabor stated that they would like to go out to an RFQ for a Traffic Street Maintenance Plan which, he thinks, is coming right after their Sanitary Sewer Master Plan.

Mayor Byers observed that what happened in Portland is not as likely here because the people here talk with one another. In Portland, they are spread out all over. Councilor Reisner reiterated his concern that he is worried that the City would be spending a lot of money on the repairs, and that if other services required destruction of the work or other maintenance, it would be wasted money. It is something that the Council needs to address. Discussion ensued.

Public Works Supervisor Tabor said that the City will have its master plans. We will get them, and we will get them soon. That will give us some idea about where we need to do our infrastructure for our water, what we need to do for our storm water collections, and how we are going to maintain them. We also have to get a Sanitary Master Plan in order to gauge our system that was put in over a hundred years ago. We can try to anticipate as best as possible. This project is just restoration to hold us until we can get that far. Hopefully, if we get a Street Master Plan, we will be well into the Water Master Plan that will tell us where we need to replace aging water mains or those areas that we are having difficulties. When we have the Sewer Master Plan, it will tell us where we need to start and how we are going to fund it, and how much it is going to cost us in the long term. So if we had those plans in front of us, we could gauge the total costs on an engineered study. He gave examples of ongoing projects and said that they were going to be very close to estimate on each of them. He also assured the Council that with the projects, such as the projects on Barkley and on Howell Streets that they are looking at the other factors,

such as sewer and water, so that the new surfaces are not dug up right away. The utility work is surveyed as part of the project.

Councilor Mersereau assured Councilor Reisner that this is just for the maintenance and it looks like a very good plan. The master plans are just figuring out what to do, but that they would take several years to complete. He feels like the citizens will be happy to have their streets repaired. Discussion ensued about the condition of Hereford.

Councilor Reisner wanted to make sure that there were some provisions to make sure that the contractors carried through with the projects in a timely manner. Public Works Supervisor Tabor assured him that there was plenty of incentive for them to finish on time. Councilor Martinez noted that the City had worked satisfactorily with this contractor before. Public Works Supervisor Tabor concurred.

Councilor Sieckmann commended Public Works Supervisor Tabor on the thoroughness of the report and on the effective format. He also noted that he had taken a tour of the infrastructure with Public Works Supervisor Tabor during the previous week. He wanted to know if there was anything that Public Works Supervisor Tabor knew about that needs to be taken care of before work ensues on that road. Public Works Supervisor Tabor said none that he knows of. This just seals the road so that water does not get between the layers and cause more damage. The water services on Arlington Street have all been replaced. Most of the water services on Oatfield Road have been replaced, and we have several types of water mains up there, but they are well bedded, and have a strong service history.

On Hereford Street, the water main is a mixture of 8 inch cast iron and 24 inch ductile iron. There are a few galvanized water services along the route, but most have been replaced with copper. He did not foresee any problems, and he said that when they do rebuild, those would all be addressed.

*Councilor Nelson made a motion to accept the bid on the Pavement Rehabilitation Project. Councilor Reisner seconded the motion. No discussion. Motion passed unanimously.*

6. City Hall/Police Station Proposal:

City Administrator Boyce explained that his proposal involved new construction of the City Hall and Police Station. He believes that the City could have a substantial savings if they were to couple the City Hall/Police Station with the proposed new library project that is up for vote in November. In order to get more solid numbers from the consultants, there will need to be an additional investment (up to \$7000) to get hard numbers for that plan.

When Group McKenzie did the initial analysis on the City Hall/Police Station, they did a space/needs analysis. He believes that it could be advantageous to City Hall and the Library to have shared spaces, such as shared staff rooms, rest rooms, utility rooms, and a whole host of things where space could be shared. In order to calculate that, the

consultant would need to do a shared space analysis with the library to determine where those shared spaces are – that comes under the money amount he discussed earlier.

His proposal also includes building a new police station right here, right next to the fire station, thereby keeping emergency services together. Ideally, it would be nice to have the City Hall and Library as close to the Police Station and Fire Station as possible. To do that, the City would need to acquire property, so he is recommending that they keep the same language as was in the library proposal to keep them as close to Portland Avenue as possible.

He discussed what the consultants need to determine estimates and how do they pay for it. He is proposing that they consider using Urban Renewal Funds and the money saved in the State Revenue Sharing. We currently have about \$4 M in Urban Renewal Funds that could be used for this project, and it takes in an additional \$800,000 in funds each year. The State Revenue Sharing Fund has about \$800,000 earmarked right now for Police Station/City Hall issues.

The previous estimate for the construction of a City Hall/Police Station was about \$5.1 million for engineering and construction. We would also need to consider land acquisition costs. We would also need to consider hiring a construction manager to oversee the construction of the project, bringing the final expenses into the neighborhood of about \$6 M.

To keep the cost down, he recommends that the City use as much as possible in cash to keep the interest expense down. There are competing projects for the Urban Renewal Funds, so he recommends a mixture of cash and debt to fund the projects.

The project would have to go to a vote of the people as per the City Charter – any building in excess of \$1 M has to go to a vote, and any debt incurred by the project must be authorized by the vote. If they decide to couple the City Hall project with the Library project, it could cause a delay in the Library project while they got the public approval on the other project. It seems that if they can combine the projects of the City Hall and the Library, it could save money for both projects. It sounds like a wise choice to consolidate the projects.

If the Library project does not meet voter approval, then the City Hall project should be combined with the Police Station. If so, he would like to keep it on the current site, but they would need to acquire property to help with the parking.

Right now he is seeking City Council approval to incur the engineering fees to pay for the additional analysis contingent on the Library project passing in the November election.

Another point about the \$7,000 if the Library project passes, is that the language of the Library proposal will not use City or Urban Renewal Funds to pay for the project. He thinks that they are justified to use the \$7,000 to pay for the addition of the City Hall to the project, and he recommends the use of the State Revenue Sharing funds to pay for it.

If we do not use the justification for use to do the additional analysis, the funds will need to take a part of the \$7000 from the Library Operating Budget – the City receives Library District Funds to help operate the Library. We would need to use those funds from the Library District Funds to cover that expense.

Councilor Mersereau asked for clarification about spending the additional \$7,000 if the Library Ballot Measure does pass. City Administrator Boyce said that he thought it would premature to start the analysis until they know that they have something that they know they can consolidate. Councilor Mersereau reiterated that it would save money if the two projects could be somehow consolidated, it doesn't matter which entity got the savings.

Councilor Sieckmann said that he had numerous questions, but all of them were based on "What ifs" and he did not want to ask a lot of questions based on something that may or may not happen. He would like to table the proposal until after the November 11 Council meeting – after the November elections. Mayor Byers concurred. He also observed that option 1 had some urgency, but since the City Administrator had not recommended option 1, he recommended they wait until after the election to determine what actions should be taken.

City Administrator Boyce reminded them that there is still some urgency, since the latest evaluation of the roof of the City Hall is still structurally sound. There is still that chance that there could be a failure, but he thinks that they can continue to do repairs on the roof as needed. There is still some risk of putting off the repair.

Councilor Busch also believes it wise to wait until after the elections, but wants to commend the staff for the fine report that is well thought out.

Councilor Reisner had a question about the history, since the July report said that they were going to apply for a seismic upgrade for the Police Department. Did they apply for the upgrade? City Administrator Boyce stated that the engineer that they hired to do the application decided that he could not schedule us in at this time, so they are looking for another engineer to do the work so that we can make sure we get the application in on time. Councilor Reisner recalled that the original amount for the application for the seismic upgrade was about \$9,000. Does this mean that the \$7,000 mentioned today is in addition to the \$9,000 of the earlier estimate? City Administrator Boyce acknowledged that the amount for the grant application is totally separate from the \$7,000.

7. Discussion of Planning Commission Review of Webster Road Property:

Mayor Byers noted that the Planning Commission succinctly stated that the property was properly designated.

City Administrator Boyce stated that the Planning Commission wants to know if the City Council wants them to be more specific in refining restriction if the city does decide to sell the property. He says that the Planning Commission is offering their services. Mayor

Byers says that they do not have enough information at this time to ask intelligent questions, but we would like them to evaluate it.

City Administrator Boyce asked if there was additional information that staff could provide to assist City Council. Councilor Reisner added that he thinks the City needs to go forward and sell it. Councilor Sieckmann suggested that the City might want to put some code changes or deed restrictions before selling the property. He would like to see what the complaints were in public testimony, what was in the letters, what it was that was going on up there that started this whole process. He was worried about what the documentation said what the people's concerns were that started the whole process.

Mayor Byers said that the concerns were that they were mining rock – lots of rock, and that the property would eventually become one level all the way across. Someone interjected that they had two crushers and a number of trucks there to process the rock and haul it away. Councilman Busch said that he had been on the Planning Commission at that time, and there were concerns by the neighbors about what kind of development may be there. There was conjecture that there could be an Albertson's or something like that there. The vast majority of the objection was the impact of having the rock processing and hauling there, because they were trying to move hundreds of thousands of cubic yards of rock out of there with the dump trucks – creating a tremendous amount of heavy traffic in the area, including the amount of dust created and the impact on the community.

Councilor Nelson reflected that the City Administrator had asked if the Council still had any of the figures on that process. City Administrator Boyce added that the City should have minutes from the Planning Commission about that, and that there were probably some court documents available that would answer some of those questions. We do keep our records on a retention schedule so they should be available, but he would have to go look.

Councilor Sieckmann said that this seemed like a pretty major decision for the City if they decide to go that direction. If the documents were made available, he would be willing to come down to view the documents so that they would not have to be copied and waste staff time. Councilor Busch said that he could dig through his files and recalled that this was the biggest single application that he ever worked on as a planning commissioner. They had crafted an approval for the application with an endless list of conditions on the approval. It was on the conditions of the approval that the developer had determined that he could not do the project. The conditions included noise control, dust control, traffic control, and escorting children to school through the busy project area.

Public Works Supervisor Tabor reminded the Council that they should keep in mind that the site was looked at for a five million gallon water reservoir at one time. He said that he could provide the Council member the information in digital format. Councilor asked him if that was actually on a different parcel of land. Public Works Supervisor Tabor acknowledged that it was, but that he did not want anyone to be taken by surprise.

Mayor Byers announced that he thought the Planning Commission had done a fine job on this, and that the Council would soon have more things that they would want to consider on it. Councilor Busch stated that he thinks the Planning Commission should look closely at the previous paperwork from the initial application because there would be a lot of valuable information that they need to have regarding excavation.

Councilor Sieckmann asked the city attorney how he may be affected since he had probably made some decisions regarding the property while on the Planning Commission. City Attorney Abma said that there was no conflict.

City Administrator Boyce brought it to Mayor Byers' attention that Councilor Sieckmann had pointed out that the Planning Commission had asked for clarification while they are reviewing city code, that there are a number of very detailed exceptions under the noise restrictions of that code. City Attorney Doughman wanted to know if there was some historical basis in Council for that code. He would like to get that information to the Planning Commission.

Mayor Byers responded that things are put into the municipal code for a reason. If it is not documented, and if we can't ascertain that the reasons for the restrictions still exists, then the Council needs to reevaluate it.

#### **BUSINESS FROM THE COUNCIL:**

Councilor Nelson announced that four fire personnel reported to Rowena as part of a task force; they did an exceptional job, and that they had an article written up about them in a local paper. We also had one fire fighter injured on the job in Oregon City – he is recuperating well. The fire department is doing an excellent job, and they did an excellent job in Rowena in saving structures.

Councilor Martinez reported that we did the Servant Sunday, and that it looked to be the largest group that they ever had. They had worked on City property and school property, so the hours of labor were probably pretty impressive.

Councilor Sieckmann said that he had gone on a Public Works tour with Public Works Supervisor Tabor, and that it was very informative. He also went through a Police Station tour and discussion with Chief Pryde. He is also scheduled to tour the Library and the Senior Center. He announced that he had a couple of ideas for which he will seek the support of the Council. The ideas follow:

- Coffee with a Councilor – an opportunity for business people or citizens to meet one on one with councilors at their mutual convenience. He is willing to take it on, or maybe it is something that they could rotate through the Councilors. It may take some of the questions that are directed at the City Administrator or the Assistant City Administrator, freeing up a little of their time. He would like the feedback of the Council on the idea.
- Is it possible to have the Executive Sessions before the Council meetings instead of after? It may make it a little easier on staff who are there from 5:00 to 7:30 anyway, and maybe

easier on the Councilors who are sometimes there until 9:00, then have to stay until 11:00 for Executive Session. Some discussion ensued, most reflecting that they had done this in the past.

- Some items are not put on the Council Meeting agendas because they are not set on the priority by the City Administrator. Is it possible to use the monthly adjourned meeting to discuss some of these items? Discussion ensued, with the consensus that the Council was already doing that in practice on an "as needed" basis.

Councilors Nelson and Mersereau both supported Councilor Sieckmann's idea – councilor Mersereau noted that we sometimes put things off too long. Discussion ensued – one comment added was that we should not let those things get put off if they are important. Councilor Busch said that we need to ask staff how often there are issues that they would like to address at the Council but don't because they think there is already too much to address. Is it a matter of the Council not having time to address the issues or is it that the staff does not have enough time to put together a staff report about it before the Council meetings?

City Administrator explained that there are some things that don't make the agenda. He also believes that some of the items that appear on the agenda on meetings that go very long to not always get the attention they deserve because it is so late when they are addressed. He explained that there are sometimes singular items left over that do not warrant a special meeting just to address. He could sometimes suggest paring down some of the items in the first meeting, then address them in a second meeting. He would not have an issue with dividing the workload between the two days of the month. Councilor Sieckmann is probably right, in that some things do not get put on because we get a full agenda, and this would allow that to happen a little sooner. Councilor Busch pointed out that Councilor Sieckmann's idea is to discuss it in a work session – we do not make decisions in the work sessions. Maybe that fourth Tuesday meeting could be a hybrid so things could get discussed. Mayor Byers pointed out that of the eight months this year, four of them have been that meeting. He thinks they are doing it already.

City Administrator Boyce pointed out that for some items they could have a Work Session followed by an Adjourned Meeting. Mayor Byers added that he believed that they had a second meeting in May, June, and July, so we are doing that now. Councilor Sieckmann asked if we have one this month. Mayor Byers pointed out that it appears we do not have matters to deal with. City Planner Boyce said that he would like to keep the first meeting down so that they can finish about 9:00 to 9:30, and sometimes later with Executive Session. He would also like to try to keep the second meeting to end at about the same time.

Councilor Nelson asked why the meetings cannot start at 7:00 instead of 7:30. It would give an extra half hour on the start. Mayor Byers noted that it was sometimes difficult for Councilors to arrive before 7:30 because of work hours. Discussion ensued. City Administrator pointed out that this is something that can be discussed at one of our work sessions.

Councilor Busch commented to Irene (Green, Library Director) that his wife had recently been to the Library and that she commented that it looks fantastic.

Councilor Reisner announced that Parks and Rec Board had met a couple of weeks ago, and they had suggested that the City look at Milwaukie's code to see areas where we can possibly

streamline areas and maybe beef up others. He also sees where something was discussed, but probably just didn't make the agenda was the park hours; there may be a need to standardize the hours of park operation to change: one hour before sunrise/one hour after sunset. He also had a question about some discussion in July about money that was earmarking some of the money from the Lake Oswego construction, and that the Budget Committee was getting together? City Administrator pointed out that there was probably no need for the Budget Committee on this, and that he was working on it and would probably have it worked out by the October meeting.

Councilor Nelson interjected that last month they had discussed a piece of property on First Street and Clackamas Boulevard. It looks like that property sold, and it has a sale pending sign on it now. So it is probably off the plate for now. They did not tell us it was for sale when they came before us last month.

City Administrator suggested that they might want to follow through with the request, since it could come up again.

Mayor Byers announced that the Senior Center is having a special lunch (barbeque) on Wednesday, like they have in the past. He hopes people will attend. He also said that he had gone to see Katherine Schneider for her 100<sup>th</sup> birthday, taking greetings from the City Council and City Staff. He also said that he wanted to acknowledge that Jeff's retirement event was very nice.

Two weeks from tonight, High Rocks is having a fundraiser for the high school, with a Hawaiian theme – a luau. They are going to roast a pig. They do three or four of these a year to support our fire department, our police department, and now our schools. High Rocks does a great job on these events, and it is well worth supporting them.

## ADJOUR

Meeting was put into recess, and will reconvene for Executive Session

Approved by the Mayor this 10 day of November, 2014.

Attest:

Wade Byers  
Mayor

J. Minin  
Assistant City Administrator