

GLADSTONE ADJOURNED CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES of September 23, 2014

Meeting was called to order. No time stamp provided.

ROLL CALL:

The following city officials answered roll call: Councilor Mersereau, Councilor Martinez, Councilor Sieckmann, Councilor Busch, Councilor Reisner, and Mayor Byers

ABSENT:

Councilor Nelson

STAFF:

Shane Abma, City Attorney; Jolene Morishita, Assistant City Administrator; Jim Pryde, Police Chief; Rhonda Bremmeyer, Senior Center Director; Wayne Lawrence, Police Sgt.; Maria Aikin, Executive Assistant; Irene Green, Library Director

1. Coffee with a Councilor:

City Administrator Boyce introduced an agenda item report, Coffee with a Councilor that was put together by Councilor Sieckmann. Staff supports it, thinking it to be one more way for the Council to connect with citizens. We just need to determine if this is something the City Councils want to pursue, and determine if they want to do this and where, and who wants to be present. He warned the Council about staying away from a quorum at the adjourned meeting.

Councilor Sieckmann explained the reason and format of the agenda item, explaining that this was something that he just wanted to put before Council, and every bit of it is up for discussion (date, time, etc.) He is more than willing to take the lead on this, but welcomes any participation by any of the other Council members. He is also fine if someone else wants to take the lead. Mayor Byers interjected that it was a good one, and that he had not thought about the quorum.

Councilor Sieckmann suggested that, if more people were interested in participating, they could put together a calendar where people could just sign up. He would like to attend the first one, but any dates that are open, he is willing to cover for the next year, barring emergencies. He would certainly like to see the participation of other Councilors. Mayor Byers said that he would like to attend. Councilor Martinez said that she thinks there should be some consistency, like there should be a day on which people would know that on the first Wednesday of the month they can go down and meet with a Councilor. She wondered if they would want to have coffee with one councilor, or more, or if they did it once a week, they could rotate and take turns. If a councilor shows up, and after 5 minutes no one shows up, then they could assume that no one is going to show and they could leave, knowing that she really doesn't want to sit there for an hour hoping that someone will show up for coffee.

Mayor Byers said that in fairness they could give a timeframe. Councilor Martinez reiterated about having to wait for an hour. Councilor Mersereau said that he supports the idea. He said that he had talked with Councilor Nelson earlier in the day, and that he also supports it, and is willing to take part in it, too. Councilor Reisner interjected, "Same here." Councilor Busch stated that in the short time that he will be here, he will support it too.

Councilor Sieckmann said that he will work out a calendar, and that he has it laid out for two meetings per month, one late morning, and the other early evening to make sure we can capture anyone that is on night shift or day shift. He set them up for the Mondays just before the Council meetings, so that most people that would be in town for the Council meetings on Tuesday, instead of

having them on weeks that we are off and it would be hard to schedule. Mayor Byers said that that works on those days except when the Tuesday is the first day of the month. Councilor Sieckmann said that it may take him a while to work those kinds of things out. Councilor Martinez said that she was busy on Monday nights. Councilor Busch said that maybe they could do it every other week. Councilor Sieckmann said that doing it every other week may cause problems. Councilor Martinez said that if you know it is the first and the third Monday, they will always know it is the first and third Monday of the month – you don't have to know if you held it last week or not. A short discussion ensued.

Mayor Byers said that should have an understanding that this is not a place where any business is going to be transacted. He said that if they know in advance, he would commit a month in advance to be at the meeting, and they could announce in the City Hall Briefs so that everyone would know which of the City Councilors are going to be there.

Councilor Sieckmann asked the Council if they wanted him to make out a calendar and some type of format – he did not want to call it rules. Mayor Byers said that they definitely need some kind of definitions. Councilor Busch interjected that it would be good to know that the Councilor cannot make any decisions; it is up to the Council to make all decisions. If something is discussed – if it is something that needs to be brought to Council, it is brought to Council; it is not a place where a decision can be made by some Councilor. Mayor Byers concurred that they cannot approve anything, and that part of the discourse should be in how the City has a process through which they get things approved and ordinances get passed. This includes several steps and somewhere in the process, we can even tell them what those steps are. It is something like the Booster Club who came in here and thought that we could get that sign approved that night, and it just caught us at a scheduling time when the Planning Commission had already scheduled their September meeting.

Not all of the people in the neighborhood understand how this process works. We probably could occasionally announce that one of the department heads will attend. If someone has a concern or a suggestion, that would be an appropriate time. If we get more input from the residents, then it is an hour well spent in his view – and it depends on staff time. If someone has a concern about an issue, it is beneficial for the staff or the department head to get the input, instead of adding a step that we give it to them after the meeting. We need to be flexible.

Councilor Martinez assumed that it would be like what it is now when she talks to people, and the only benefit is that she is going to get a cup of coffee out of it. But when people approach her on the street with a problem or concern, and when she asks questions and gets answers that it is an ongoing problem, then she can determine that there is an issue and she can tell them who to see or what steps to take to resolve it. She would assume that this would be the same thing, and if we needed to call somebody in that they could maybe be free.

Councilor Reisner said that he liked the idea about being able to educate more one-on-one. The majority of the people do not know how things work. Mayor Byers agreed that after all these years, most people do not know how things work. Councilor Martinez said that instead of getting an interpretation of how things work from all of the Councilors, which are bound to be different, we should have something printed about how you do something so that we don't have something come back and bite us. Of course, occasionally someone has something like business from the audience where we can, and do, approve it. That occasionally happens, but usually there is a lot more process. Councilor Martinez clarified that we will not be doing these things. Mayor Byers said that we will not be approving – it is not a stated meeting. We should also say that there will not be minutes kept – it is an open meeting, but it is not meant to be a recorded meeting; and we want to make sure that is an appropriate thing to do. Maybe if we have a meeting like this, we need a recording. City Attorney

Abma assured him that he does not need a recording, and stated that he agreed with City Administrator Boyce – that you do not want to have four Councilors present – you do not want a quorum. A couple of side comments ensued.

Councilor Sieckmann said that is why he thought the library is a good idea. He had spoken with Irene (Green, Library Director) about this, and she had done some of this before for the County, so she has had some valuable input on some of this. One of the things he thinks about is that he will sit there for an hour, because there may be someone who is just wanting to know what is going on and goes over and picks up a book. When someone walks in and sits down, we want them to feel comfortable – not like they are in a Council meeting with several Councilors. Councilor Martinez and Mayor Byers concurred. Mayor Byers said that sometimes they do not want three, maybe just two. Councilor Martinez said that she thought one-on-ones are even better. Councilor Sieckmann said it would be a great problem to have it we set it up one-on-one, and we decided that we didn't have enough people there and needed another Councilor there to help with the people coming through. Councilor Martinez reiterated that she thinks one-on-ones are important.

Councilor Sieckmann solicited for a preferred date on which to start. Councilor Martinez said that she could not do late Mondays and Mayor Byers stated that he had Historical Society stuff on the fourth Mondays. Councilor Sieckmann said that it is alright for people to just sign up where they are available. Right now he just needs to know when he can get started so they can get it into the newsletters and stuff so people know about it. Mayor Byers said that the earliest would be in November. We could consider this in the October meeting; that newsletter comes out after the meeting. We could schedule them as early as November, but December has too many disruptions. Councilor Sieckmann said that is the way he put it into the report, that because of where they are at and the time of the year maybe they just start it after the first of the year. He reported that he will move forward and thanked the Council.

ADJOURNED MEETING:

Mayor Myers opened the Adjourned City Council Meeting at 7:47 pm.

Business from the Audience: Mayor Byers solicited for business from the audience that was not on the agenda.

REGULAR AGENDA:

2. Ordinance 1453 – An Ordinance Establishing a Tax On the Sale of Marijuana and Marijuana Infused Products in the City of Gladstone and adding a New Chapter 5.65 to the Gladstone Municipal Code

City Administrator Boyce introduced the topic and announced that Gladstone was not alone in considering an ordinance of this type. It came up quickly, but he recognized this as an opportunity to generate revenue, and he recommends that we adopt this.

City Attorney Abma explained that with the possibilities of Measure 91 passing in the fall, it has two provisions that would prohibit or preempt a city from doing any kind of tax on marijuana or marijuana products. Since the vote for Measure 91 is on November 4, the City needs to have this ordinance passed in order to be grandfathered in. This explains the kind of rush job trying to get this on the books. The marijuana tax, which he borrowed heavily from Ashland and a Colorado jurisdiction, proposes to do a 10% tax from gross receipts of retail sales of marijuana, and currently a 0% tax on the sale of medical marijuana. He explained that when they tried to pass a tax on the medical marijuana in Ashland, it failed, so they decided to keep it at 0% so that they have the authority to tinker with it later if it becomes necessary, though he does not know any

cities that are taxing medical marijuana, though there is no prohibition on taxing medical marijuana right now. This measure 91 is all about retail sales, and the preemption is about retail sales. Medical marijuana could be taxed at any time. There is no preemption to taxing medical marijuana.

So the tax is on the seller, it is a gross receipts tax; it is not a sales tax, and is fully on the seller to pay it. If the seller sells marijuana for \$200, the seller owes the city 10%, or \$20. It is not a tax that the seller adds to the sale of the product, so the buyer would not be paying \$220. It is a pretty basic tax in that the seller would be required to file a return quarterly, no different than what you will find across the state in transient lodging taxes or any other kind of tax – Ashland has a food and beverage tax which is also a seller, gross receipts tax. At the end of each quarter, the seller must file a return to the City and pay 10% tax to the City on gross receipts. Of course there are provisions for penalties and interest if you are late, provisions for appeals (the appeals would go to City Council), which have been pretty common in the ordinances that he has examined. In some provisions the appeals go to the City Finance Director or City Administrator, but most go to the City Council. The Council is free to tinker with those, since those kinds of details can be altered later.

The important thing now is to get it passed on the books so that it can be grandfathered in – if we are allowed to grandfather it in. Since this is a citizen initiative, the language of the preemptions in the Measure is such that the language of the exemptions does not track preemption language very well. He cited an example in which it states that city ordinances are repealed under this law. State cannot repeal a city charter – it cannot repeal an ordinance. He went on to explain that at the very best, it states that the city ordinances cannot be enforced. Because the language has some questions, there is at least a chance that it was not written well enough to preempt, so get in all you can if you are going to do so. Since this is a citizen initiative, the legislature could go in and tinker with it a little bit and state that all of those cities that had taxes in place, acknowledge them and allow them to remain – or they might go in and remove that prohibition altogether in the future – we don't know.

Councilor Martinez clarified in a question that this is a city imposed tax on sales, what about the state? The way that it is written, the state, if this is passed, would provide licenses for growers, producers, wholesalers, and retailers. The measure has a state tax on the producers by the weight of the crop. So two sellers could sell the same amount of marijuana (one ton) and sell it for two different amounts (\$3M and \$5M). There is a provision in the state measure that there is some revenue sharing with the cities and counties. For cities and counties both, it is 10% revenue share – which is to be earmarked for enforcement purposes. From January until 2017, every city would get a portion of the revenue sharing. After July 2017, the revenue sharing will be based on how many licenses it has in its jurisdiction that were issued by the state. So in 2018, if the city of Gladstone has zero licensed dealers, they will get zero tax dollars.

Mayor Byers asked if the part of the initiative about repealing city charter and ordinances will be enough to declare it unconstitutional and the bill would be thrown out. City Attorney Abma stated that it has a clause that serves any constitutional provisions, so he does not think it will kill the entire measure. But if you had been tracking state law for a while, you would not have worded it this way. There is no vetting process. He said that he was not stating any of this disparagingly, but if you have been drafting statutes and ordinances for a long time, you know how to do them; if not, it doesn't quite track.

Councilor Sieckmann noted that in the staff reports and everything it states there will be 0% taxes on medical marijuana, but he is not seeing anything in our actual ordinance that clarifies that - it

just says marijuana. City Attorney Abma referred him to Section 5.65.030, Levy of Taxes, section B says, "Zero percent of the gross sale amount paid to the seller of the marijuana and marijuana infused product by a person who is a registry identification cardholder. Councilor Sieckmann acknowledged that it is in the exhibit, but not in the ordinance itself.

Councilor Busch clarified that the City Manager would be the City Administrator. City Attorney Abma apologized for the wording, and said that was absolutely correct. He went on to say that if the Council was going to go forward with this tonight, they would need to change that. Mayor Byers noted that they could just include that in the motion.

Councilor Sieckmann pointed out that on page 4 of 7, under Penalties and Interest, section F, it says that all sums collected pursuant to the penalty provisions in paragraphs A through C of this section will be distributed to the City's General Fund. He is wondering if that is the appropriate place for it, since we are putting this to the tax on sales, taxing the seller, since they are going to need it for enforcement and such, if it shouldn't be earmarked. That may be something that can be changed at a later date, but that was his thought there. Mayor Byers stated that he thinks there is going to be a whole other round of cities doing stuff. He views this as a placeholder.

Councilor Martinez noted that if it does go into the General Budget, that it can still be allocated in the budget process, and that the state money is directed toward enforcement, then there is at least that coming in. Mayor Byers pointed out that if we don't have anyone here selling and we don't get the state money, we don't get the money out of this either. He continued on to say that some cities were not allowing the sale of marijuana – some cities in Washington have taken that.

City Attorney Abma, who represents several cities in the area, stated that Sandy has several lawsuits regarding declaratory judgment, to be able to open after May 1, 2015, though Sandy has a prohibition on anyone opening a business that violates the controlled substances act, which either a medical or retail dispensary would violate that act. There is going to be litigation, and it is going to continue. Cities have approached this in different ways, some cities who do not want these in their jurisdictions have declared a moratorium, and are looking for ways to zone, or otherwise outright prohibit and take their chances. We have some clients who are okay with it but want to regulate it in a certain manner. He thinks you'll see it all over the spectrum. For instance, in Ashland, they weren't necessarily against it, but they wanted a little more control over the regulation and taxing.

He also followed up on what the Mayor had said about this being a place holder. You want to have the authority in place in case you have that legal argument on grandfathering. The little provisions about where the funds will go could be changed, but it is not going to affect the tax. He also explained that he had inserted the General Fund to receive the moneys because it was the easiest to do.

Mayor Byers noted that all revenue going to the General Fund is on a line item, so it isn't just like it is going in without being accounted. City Attorney Abma concurred then added that the General Fund can be spent on whatever you need to spend it on. If you earmark it, then that is where it will go. Mayor Byers noted that could be changed as well.

City Attorney noted that it was going to be hard to predict how much money is going to be coming in on this. It is on the total sale and we don't know what the price is – Ashland had a real difficult time just trying to do an economical analysis. It is too new. Who knows how many people are going to want to be licensed, how many sales they are going to do, how many people are going to want to buy. They said that they have no idea what kind of money to guess this was going to bring in.

Councilor Mersereau asked City Administrator Boyce that if he was recommending this, then he would assume that this has been taken care of as there are several indications that people have to follow certain rules and regulations to do this. Is the staff going to be able to do this with the present staff? He is assuming that the 10% will be taken care of, but how much will it cost? City Administrator Boyce replied that they did not know yet, and did not know how big this was going to get. A big portion of that 10% is going to have to go to the administration of the program. If it gets large enough that we have to add additional staff, 10% will have to pay for that additional staff. In conversations with other city managers, and the point of view right now is for the city to have plenty of options. In order to do that, we need to get the placeholder document adopted – that is City Council's purview. Adjustments are going to have to be made, tweaking the way this works to the ordinances. He thinks that the staff can handle it with the staff that they have right now, but nobody knows how many establishments there will be, and if it is a dozen or less, then we can handle it with the staff that we have.

Mayor Byers asked, "Aren't they supposed to be a thousand feet apart, and a thousand feet from schools?" City Administrator said that they had done the map on the medical marijuana dispensaries, so he thinks we can handle it with the staff that we have.

Councilor Martinez observed that if Washington was an example, the licenses are few and far between, and she cannot imagine that Gladstone is going to become the marijuana hotbed in all of Oregon.

One other scenario that is possible as in the case of Ballot Measure 47, when it passed, and through its process produced Ballot Measure 50 and passed it to the voters. It is possible that the legislators may refer a better bill to the voters, capturing the spirit of this measure but ironing out some of the difficult things and the unconstitutional things like that. He almost expects that it will happen because he thinks this bill is flawed enough that if it gets tangled up in court, it will do nobody any good.

Councilor Sieckmann in understanding that this is a placeholder, under confidentiality, when they were looking at the medical facilities and there were only like two spots in town, it is quite deep in keeping confidentiality, but you can have reports made, they are just not going to be on specific businesses. If we only have one business in the city and we have a report made, is that information going to be available, because there wouldn't be much confidentiality because the report would be about that one business. City Attorney Abma concurred that Councilor Sieckmann is correct because on these tax measures the confidentiality is that they do not have to say what their income is. These businesses are going to be licensed at the state level, so that information is going to be available at the state level. Mayor Byers noted that the state is going to have an accounting system, and their report is going to be good enough for me. If the business is selling this and maintaining records for the state, that shouldn't require the business to do any additional accounting or anything. City Attorney pointed out that one difference would be that the state is taxing on weight; it won't be by sales, so there may be some discrepancy. Councilor Busch pointed out that the state tax was on the producer, not on the sales.

Mayor Byers pointed out that businesses have to maintain tax records, because Oregon taxes their income and all business have to pay – actually Oregon's income tax piggybacks on the Feds. So business or individuals do not have to do any additional accounting for their state taxes. City Attorney Abma pointed out that one of the reasons that Ashland had gone the gross receipts route was that it was easier to administer. It is one return based on what that business made in a quarter, so you don't have to do it on each individual sale, and it is easier to administer. Mayor Byers said

that he was confident that part of the licensing process is that they are going to require very strict accounting procedures, so that there is no underground economy or barter economy or things like that. It will be like selling alcohol – you have to pay cash to the distributor. There truck drivers carry thousands of dollars with them every day.

Councilor Sieckmann said that he noted that there are penalties, and that everything seems to be financial penalties; there is nothing in here about revoking a business license, shutting down a business, seizing assets, and he knows that is a possibility in tax stuff. Just for the future, if they have to use this and later go in and tweak it.

City Attorney Abma pointed out that the state law does allow the City to impose a nuisance law, and the preemption laws have nothing to do with nuisance. We can draft things that will help the city in that regard. Keep in mind that this is not a regulatory tax, it is a pure income tax. He gave an example of what would happen to a company if they did not pay their income tax. There could be provisions for any kind of enforcement beyond the tax measure if it becomes a nuisance property, or it is collecting a certain kind of clientele. Whatever kind of issues that are going on where the city needs to use its police powers, we can draft those kinds of ordinances.

Councilor Martinez asked that if a state issues a license, can the city revoke it? City Attorney, “No.” Councilor Sieckmann pointed out that they would have to have a City Business License, and that the City could revoke that. City Attorney Abma pointed out that is the issue that Sandy has right now.

Mayor Byers asked if it would be like a liquor license that has to have a municipal endorsement. City Attorney Abma said that he had not seen any of that in the Measure. He does not recall having seen any local endorsement options.

Councilor Sieckmann asked how this would affect the City’s existing ban. By adopting like this, can they say, “Well, you are adopting this, so obviously you are planning on allowing it, and so you need to change your other law.” Mayor Byers pointed out that retail sales are not allowed in the state until the measure passes – is that around June 1 or July 1? City Attorney Abma noted that if the measure passes, it will take them some time to get going, he thinks about a year. He pointed out that Washington’s passed and it took them about 18 months to get things going. He added that the ban is on the medical marijuana dispensary. Councilor Sieckmann disagreed, and thought that the wording had been changed to just marijuana dispensaries, knowing that this could be down the pike. Mayor Byers pointed out that until the state measure passes, it doesn’t matter anyway. The measure passed on July 12, 2012, and they just got it going. City Attorney Abma added that it took Washington a year and a half to get it going; it took Colorado a year. Even if the measure passes, you will not see any sales until 2016, probably.

Councilor Sieckmann commented that he thinks the Council will hear comments on this because they had once banned it, but now that you’ve found a way to make money off of it, you are in favor of it. Mayor Byers commented that in that case, we haven’t presented our case properly. Councilor Martinez noted that when they had discussed it, they would leave this way then make a decision on it.

Mayor Byers added that we passed that ordinance because we had land use procedures to go through and a bunch of procedures for the residence of Gladstone that the Council believed was appropriate to go through, and when we passed that ban, the state still had not figured out what they were going to do – the legislature still had not passed their bill yet. If this passes, it will be like when Ballot Measure 47 passed. Even though a lot of legislators were not in favor of that,

their attitude was that they wanted to clean it up so that it would work – they did not believe that it would work as written. If this measure passes, he would expect the legislature to refer it to a bill sometime in the future. From what he reads, this bill is not workable, and if it gets into a bunch of court challenges, it could take several years. He thinks it is important; we could change it in the next meeting if we want to, but we want to get it in place.

Councilor Sieckmann reflected that it was necessary. Mayor Byers stated that he believes it is. Councilor Martinez added that if we don't have something to tweak, we can't tweak.

Councilor Reisner asked why this was not brought to the Council sooner; it seems that everything related to marijuana in the last 12 months has been a rush. City Attorney Abma explained that since a lot of cities, including Gladstone, had banned the marijuana, there didn't seem to be any interest in taxing something that you were banning. But then we started thinking about it; if you want to have it in place and you want to have all the options you can, then we let all of our clients know that they should think about this if they want to go that route. It was then that we sent out the emails saying that if you are going to do this, you need to do it quickly. It was only a couple of months ago, and only Ashland had even thought about it, because they were kind of pro in that they are not banning. They are fine with the dispensaries and retail outlets and they were also willing to tax. We got to thinking and said that we need to follow Ashland's lead, and we need to do it now. So he apologized for the rush, but he was not thinking about taxing something that was going to be banned, and the cities had no interest in having it in their cities at the time.

After Mayor Byers called to entertain a motion, City Administrator Boyce informed the Mayor that we had another "whereas" with an extra insert clause. Unless the Council wants to add another "whereas" clause, we should strike that. Mayor Byers informed him that when they get to the motion, he has a plan for that. Mayor Byers called for the reading of the ordinance by title.

Assistant City Administrator Morishita read the ordinance. Mayor Byers solicited for entertaining a motion for "ordinance 1453, part of the ordinance would say that we will delete the fourth whereas, and anywhere Manager or City Manager appears we would convert that to City Administrator."

Councilor Martinez moved for the first reading of Ordinance 1453, with corrections with respect to the "whereas" and the replacement language. Councilor Mersereau seconded. Mayor solicited for discussion, then requested roll call.

- *Councilor Mersereau – Yes.*
- *Councilor Martinez – Yes.*
- *Councilor Sieckmann – Yes.*
- *Councilor Busch – Yes.*
- *Councilor Reisner – Yes.*
- *Mayor Byers – Yes.*

Motion passed unanimously. Mayor Byers called for the reading of the ordinance by title. Assistant City Administrator read Ordinance 1453 by title.

Councilor Martinez moved for adoption of Ordinance 1453. Councilor Mersereau seconded. Mayor Byers solicited for discussion, then requested roll call.

- *Councilor Mersereau – Yes.*
- *Councilor Martinez – Yes.*
- *Councilor Sieckmann – Yes.*
- *Councilor Busch – Yes.*

- Councilor Reisner – Yes.
- Mayor Byers – Yes.

Mayor Byers declared that the motion passed unanimously (6-0) and the ordinance was adopted.

BUSINESS FROM THE COUNCIL:

Mayor Byers solicited business from each of the Councilors:

Councilor Mersereau – announced that he failed to make a meeting with the police officers (reserve officers). Four new reserve officers were sworn in and will be going for training (starting Sept 23).

Councilor Martinez – complimented Chief Pryde on his recent award of accreditation and thinks it is honorable that communities can ask for help, and she thinks that we can help them. It is very impressive for Chief Pryde, our city, and our police force.

She asked Mayor Byers if he knew how many registered voters that we have in Gladstone. He said roughly eight thousand or so. Councilor Martinez continued that it was discussed this evening at the Library Board Meeting, and we said ask Wade, he will know or at least he will have some idea.

Councilor Sieckmann – nothing.

Councilor Busch – nothing.

Councilor Reisner – asked City Administrator Boyce about the master plan. City Administrator said that he had met in the last couple of weeks with Brown and Caldwell. He has two drafts of the CIP (Capital Improvement Projects) and the meat of the plan. Their sub-consultant is working on the rate studies right now; they believe they can have them ready in two to three weeks.

Councilor Reisner announced that he was able to attend Saturday's swearing in and it was done very well. He met the new officers and thinks highly of them. Eric Graves had good things to say about the program, and so did Chief Pryde.

Mayor Byers announced that Thursday night the Clackamas County Historical Society is hosting a presentation about power generation on the Willamette River and the first long distance power transmission in the world. He announced the time and date of the meeting, announced that it was free, and encouraged all to attend.

ADJOURN:

Mayor Byers adjourned the meeting at 8:21 pm.

Approved by the Mayor this 10 day of November, 2014.

Attest:



Mayor



Assistant City Administrator