

Glasgow, Clay

From: DEBRA E STACE, DAVID CATTO [catto555@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2015 4:49 PM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: Historic Sites in Gladstone

Mr. Glasgow

Could you please pass along to the Gladstone Planning Commission that there are two sites within two blocks of the proposed cell tower that may be eligible to be listed by the State Historic Prevention Office. I'm meeting with the Gladstone Historical Society Thursday to gather more information. Please include this information for their consideration at the Feb. 17th meeting.

Thank you,
David Catto

[Spam](#)

[Not spam](#)

[Forget previous vote](#)

Glasgow, Clay

From: Glenda Scherer [schererglenda33@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 11:19 AM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: Gladstone Planning Commission and application Z0435-14

Dear Mr. Glasglow,

I have reviewed the audio recording from the previous Gladstone Planning Commission meeting on 1/20/2015 and have several major concerns about the procedural aspects of the meeting. These concerns are so egregious that I call into question the validity of the meeting on 1/20/2015.

1. The chairperson, Tamera Stemple has a conflict of interest and should have recused herself from chairing the meeting. Tamera Stemple works for Adept Engineering (she self-disclosed that in the meeting at 00:08:00 in the audio)

Adept Engineering serves the telecommunication industry, specifically cell communications. In a previous Gladstone Planning Commission Meeting, on 11/19/2013, Tamera Stemple stated that in her work with Adept, "90% of the work she does is related to telecommunication towers." In that meeting, she stated that she could not be objective, and she stepped down from that meeting and took a seat in the audience.

At the 1/20/2015 meeting she did not step down; she did not take a seat in the audience. Instead, she charged forward, steering the meeting with a clear agenda to close the opportunity for public testimony. At 1:07:00 in the meeting audio, when a participant in the meeting stated that not everyone near the location had been notified, it was dismissed.

How can you close the meeting to public testimony when there is no verification that people were notified?

2. As well, at 29:00 minutes, there is an interesting discussion regarding an incomplete SHPO (State Historic Preservation Office) report. Tamera Stemple asked the Verizon representative if he had gotten the report back. He stated that it was in process, but could not remember which company Verizon had hired to do the report, but did not think it was Adept. Stemple replied, "Oh no, no, no, we do not do that for you guys..."

The SHPO report should be completed and presented to the commission prior to considering the application. One commissioner was concerned about moving forward without the SHPO report, but his comments were dismissed.

Nest steps:

1. The Gladstone Planning Commission should reconvene sans Tamara Stemple, and start the process afresh.
2. The Planning Commission should require the applicant to submit a completed SHPO report with the application.
3. How can a married couple both serve on the Planning Commission? That constitutes a voting bloc.

Sincerely,

Glenda Scherer
Resident of Gladstone

Spam

Glasgow, Clay

From: Glenda Scherer [schererglenda33@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 11:40 AM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: Fwd: Gladstone Planning Commission and application Z0435-14

Could you please print out this copy to be included in the file? I noticed a spelling mistake (nest as opposed to next).

Thanks,

Glenda Scherer

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Glenda Scherer** <schererglenda33@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 11, 2015 at 11:19 AM
Subject: Gladstone Planning Commission and application Z0435-14
To: clayg@co.clackamas.or.us

Dear Mr. Glasglow,

I have reviewed the audio recording from the previous Gladstone Planning Commission meeting on 1/20/2015 and have several major concerns about the procedural aspects of the meeting. These concerns are so egregious that I call into question the validity of the meeting on 1/20/2015.

1. The chairperson, Tamera Stemple has a conflict of interest and should have recused herself from chairing the meeting. Tamera Stemple works for Adept Engineering (she self-disclosed that in the meeting at 00:08:00 in the audio)

Adept Engineering serves the telecommunication industry, specifically cell communications. In a previous Gladstone Planning Commission Meeting, on 11/19/2013, Tamera Stemple stated that in her work with Adept, "90% of the work she does is related to telecommunication towers." In that meeting, she stated that she could not be objective, and she stepped down from that meeting and took a seat in the audience.

At the 1/20/2015 meeting she did not step down; she did not take a seat in the audience. Instead, she charged forward, steering the meeting with a clear agenda to close the opportunity for public testimony. At 1:07:00 in the meeting audio, when a participant in the meeting stated that not everyone near the location had been notified, it was dismissed.

How can you close the meeting to public testimony when there is no verification that people were notified?

2. As well, at 29:00 minutes, there is an interesting discussion regarding an incomplete SHPO (State Historic Preservation Office) report. Tamera Stemple asked the Verizon representative if he had gotten the report back. He stated that it was in process, but could not remember which company Verizon had hired to do the report, but did not think it was Adept. Stemple replied, "Oh no, no, no, we do not do that for you guys..."

The SHPO report should be completed and presented to the commission prior to considering the application. One commissioner was concerned about moving forward

without the SHPO report, but his comments were dismissed.

Next steps:

1. The Gladstone Planning Commission should reconvene sans Tamara Stemple, and start the process afresh.

Glasgow, Clay

From: Glenda Scherer [scherer_glenda33@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 12:51 PM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: correction-could you please verify that this has met the deadline?

Dear Mr. Glasglow,

I have reviewed the audio recording from the previous Gladstone Planning Commission meeting on 1/20/2015 and have several major concerns about the procedural aspects of the meeting. These concerns are so egregious that I call into question the validity of the meeting on 1/20/2015.

1. The chairperson, Tamara Stempel has a conflict of interest and should have recused herself from chairing the meeting. Tamara Stempel works for Adapt Engineering (she self-disclosed that in the meeting at 00:08:00 in the audio)

Adapt Engineering serves the telecommunication industry, specifically cell communications. In a previous Gladstone Planning Commission Meeting, on 11/19/2013, Tamara Stempel stated that in her work with Adept, "90% of the work she does is related to telecommunication towers." In that meeting, she stated that she could not be objective, and she stepped down from that meeting and took a seat in the audience.

At the 1/20/2015 meeting she did not step down; she did not take a seat in the audience. Instead, she charged forward, steering the meeting with a clear agenda to close the opportunity for public testimony. At 1:07:00 in the meeting audio, when a participant in the meeting stated that not everyone near the location had been notified, it was dismissed.

How can you close the meeting to public testimony when there is no verification that people were notified?

2. As well, at 29:00 minutes, there is an interesting discussion regarding an incomplete SHPO (State Historic Preservation Office) report. Tamara Stempel asked the Verizon representative if he had gotten the report back. He stated that it was in process, but could not remember which company Verizon had hired to do the report, but did not think it was Adapt. Stempel replied, "Oh no, no, no, we do not do that for you guys..."

The SHPO report should be completed and presented to the commission prior to considering the application. One commissioner was concerned about moving forward without the SHPO report, but his comments were dismissed.

Next steps and considerations:

1. The Gladstone Planning Commission should reconvene sans Tamara Stempel, and start the process afresh.
2. The Planning Commission should require the applicant to submit a completed SHPO report with the application.
3. How can a married couple both serve on the Planning Commission? That constitutes a voting bloc.

Sincerely,

Glenda Scherer
Resident of Gladstone

Spam

Glasgow, Clay

From: Tracy Todd [tracylmt@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 1:21 PM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: Proposed Verizon tower antenna on Portland Ave.

Mr. Glasgow,

I request that you submit my request for the reopening of public testimony on the proposed Verizon tower antenna on Portland Ave to the Gladstone Planning Commission.

As I am sure you are aware, there is concern among many citizens of Gladstone about the proposed site for this project. Many neighbors are just learning of this project, even though they live directly across the street from the site, there was not adequate time for them to add their testimony to the record.

Also with information coming to light of possible procedural errors occurring at the 1/20/15 Commission meeting and a potential conflict of interest involving the Chairwoman of the Planning Commission... I believe in all fairness to the community the application process needs to be restarted and needs to include public testimony.

I also request Chairwoman Tammy Stempel remove herself from the application process, due to a possible conflict of interest with her position on the commission and her employer.

I do not personally believe that Ms. Stempel has anything but the best interest of our community in mind, she is an avid supporter of Gladstone. BUT to uphold the highest integrity for the application process as a whole and to eliminate question from the citizenry about the ultimate outcome of this project, I believe she must abstain from involvement in this application process.

Thank you for your time,

Tracy Todd LMT OBMT Lic#6311
503 329-5639 Phone
115 West Gloucester St.
Gladstone, OR 97027

[Spam](#)

[Not spam](#)

[Forget previous vote](#)

Glasgow, Clay

From: Karla Wright [kwright@paulsonsf.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 1:28 PM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: Cell Tower

Dear Board Member:

I am writing today because I am very concerned about the Verizon cell tower being proposed here in Gladstone. As a resident and a tax payer of this city it is my right as well as the right of my fellow Gladstone residents to voice concerns and to be heard regarding this matter.

Having a Tamera Stemple as a chairperson and working for a telecommunications company, makes her biased in her approach. I request that she step down immediately from her position. I also ask that you restart the application review to include public testimony.

Secondly I have Verizon, my cell reception is just fine, and we don't need a cell tower here.

Thirdly, the decision to allow the water company to come into our town already destroyed our view of the bridge not to mention the monstrosity that they have built there now. Please don't ruin our cute little town anymore by now allowing a massive cell tower to be built in it. Gladstone has had a lot of charm to it.

The last reason in writing and not wanting a cell tower to be here is for health reasons. It is not healthy to have that in our own backyard. I know many people that developed health problems including cancer after cell towers were put in near their residence or businesses.

Please keep the residents in mind here and not just the person that is going to benefit monetarily from this. We don't want a cell tower here.

Thank you

Karla Wright
Gladstone resident

Karla Wright

*Paulson's Floor Coverings
1136 SE Grand Ave
Portland, OR 97214
(503)235-8711*

NOTE: This message was trained as non-spam. If this is wrong, please correct the training as soon as possible.

Spam

Not spam

Forget previous vote

Glasgow, Clay

From: DEBRA E STACE, DAVID CATTO [catto555@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 4:53 PM
To: Glasgow, Clay
Subject: Planning Commission Meeting 1/20/15

Case # Z0435-14-C

I would like this email to be submitted to the planning commission concerning the lack notification of Gladstone residents prior to the 1/20/15 meeting. Also I have great concerns regarding Tamara Stempels involvement with this application due to her employment history.

Thank you,
David Catto

[Spam](#)

[Not spam](#)

[Forget previous vote](#)